## CHAPTER 15

## Smart Excel Appendix

## Appendix Contents

Excel prerequisites
If function
Learn to solve for
Forward premium or discount
Spot price predicted by law of one price
Exchange rates that permit arbitrage
Use the Smart Excel spreadsheets and animated tutorials at the Smart Finance
section of http://www.cengage.co.uk/megginson.

## EXCEL PREREQUISITES

You need to be familiar with the Excel IF function to use this appendix.
If this is new to you be sure to complete the Excel Prereqs tab in the Chapter 15 Excel file located at the Smart Finance Web site before proceeding.

## FORWARD PREMIUM OR DISCOUNT

Problem: Solve Problem 15-5 in the text. Supply the forward yen premium or discount (specify which it is) for the given one- and three-month quotes as an annual percentage rate.

```
Approach: Create a simple model to find the forward premium or discount
Try it yourself in a blank Excel file. Think about what to include in the input
section and how to set up your calculations and output.
    Or, Open the Chapter 15 Excel file located at the Smart Finance Web site. Turn to
the ForwPrem tab.
    Enter the input information from Problem 15-5.
    The forward premium or discount on a currency is:
    = (F-S)/S
    This must then be annualized.
```


## Apply it

Find the forward premium.
To annualize the one-month premium, multiply by 12. To annualize the three-month premium, multiply by 12/3, or 4.

Your results should match:
Annualized 1-month forward premium 1.91\%
Annualized 3-month forward premium 1.96\%

## PURCHASING POWER PARITY

The law of one price states that identical goods trading in different markets should sell at the same price, absent any barriers to trade.

Law of one price holds if $\mathrm{P}_{\text {for }} / \mathrm{P}_{\text {dom }}=S_{\text {for }}$ /dom
This concept is applied in Problem 15-7.
Problem: Solve Problem 15-7 in the text. A particular commodity sells for $\$ 5,000$ in the United States and $¥ 600,000$ in Japan. Assume the current spot rate is $¥ 109.43$ per euro.

Approach: Create a model to analyze purchasing power parity.
Open the Chapter 15 Excel file located at the Smart Finance Web site. Turn to the PPP tab.

## Apply it

- Solve Part (a): Does the law of one price hold?

Fill in the inputs. Then compare the cost in Japan to the cost in the United States. To do so, translate the cost in the United States into yen.
The cost in yen in the United States equals the cost in euros multiplied by the spot rate. You should get $¥ 547,150$ in the United States compared with $¥ 600,000$ in Japan. Since the two are not equal, the law of one price does not hold.
Option: Use an IF statement to answer Part (a).
You can set up an IF statement that returns Yes if the law of one price holds and No if it does not.

- Solve Part (b): Is arbitrage possible if shipping costs are $¥ 60,000$ ? At what exchange rate (in yen per euro) would buying the commodity in the United States and shipping to Japan become profitable?

To solve this, first include shipping costs. Simply add the $¥ 60,000$ yen shipping cost to the cost of $¥ 547,150$. The result is $¥ 607,150$. Arbitrage is NOT possible because this exceeds the cost in Japan of $¥ 600,000$.
Again, you can use an IF statement to answer this question. Compare the cost including shipping with the cost in Japan.
Now find the exchange rate at which buying the commodity in the United States and shipping to Japan becomes profitable.
Buying in the United States and shipping to Japan becomes profitable when the cost in the United States (in yen) plus the $¥ 60,000$ cost of shipping is less than the Japanese cost of $¥ 600,000$.
Or, find the exchange rate that solves:
$\$ 5,000+¥ 60,000<¥ 600,000$
$¥ / \$$ such that $\$ 5,000<¥ 540,000$
$¥ / \$<108.00$
If the current exchange rate fell to below 108.00, arbitrage becomes possible.
In the model you can easily find this:
$=$ (Price in Japan - Shipping costs) / Price in \$

- Solve Part (c): At what exchange rate (in yen per euro) would buying the commodity in Japan and shipping to the United States become profitable?
Buying in Japan and shipping to the United States becomes profitable when the cost in Japan, including the $¥ 60,000$ cost of shipping, is less than the United States cost of $\$ 5,000$.
Or, find the exchange rate that solves:
$\$ 5,000$ > $¥ 600,000+¥ 60,000$
$¥ / \$$ such that $\$ 5,000>\not \approx 660,000$

```
#/$ > 132.00
```

If the current exchange rate rises above 132.00, arbitrage becomes possible.
In the model you can easily find this:
$=$ (Price in Japan + Shipping costs) / Price in \$

- Solve Part (d): At what exchange rate does the law of one price hold? At the rate $=$ Price in yen / Price in euros
$=120.00$
Your model results should match:


## Inputs

| Price in $¥$ | $600,000.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Price in $\$$ | $5,000.00$ |
| Spot rate $¥ / \$$ | 109.43 |
| Shipping costs—¥ | $600,000.00$ |

## Calculations

| US Cost in $¥$ | $547,150.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost with shipping | $607,150.00$ |

## Output

Part (a) Does law of one price hold? No

|  | Is arbitrage possible if buy in U.S. and <br> ship to Japan? | No |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | To profit by buying in U.S. and shipping <br> to Japan, spot rate must be below: | 108.00 |
| PartTo profit by buying in Japan and <br> shipping to U.S., spot rate must be |  |  |
| (c) above: | 132.00 |  |

Part (d) Spot rate for Law of one price: 120.00

## Apply it

- In this problem, when is arbitrage possible?

At an exchange rate of $¥ 120 / \$$, the price is the same in Japan and the United States. Ignoring shipping costs, arbitrage is therefore possible at rates above or below $¥ 120 / \$$. However, arbitrage would involve buying in one country and shipping to another. The cost of shipping is significant. It leads to a band of exchange rates within which arbitrage is impossible. At exchange rates below $108 ¥ / \$$, buying in the United States and shipping to Japan leads to profits. At exchange rates above $132 ¥ / \$$, buying in Japan and shipping to the United States is profitable. Between $108 ¥ / \$$ and $132 ¥ / \$$ arbitrage is not possible because any potential gains from differential pricing of the commodity between the two countries is eliminated by the shipping costs.

