access 265 action research (AR) 4, 14, 17, 183 case study 28–30 aim of your research 140 aims and objectives 28, 44–5, 47, 59, 140–1, 144, 145, 248, 275 analytical framework 6, 356, 381–2, 410, 423 see also four frameworks approach anonymity 79	focus on 76–7 in practice 77–8 case study research 429–31 closed questions 293 cluster sampling 209, 211–12 code 385 coding 361 qualitative data 393 coding keys 361, 388–97 Compte, Auguste 12 computer assisted data analysis	critical engagement 165 critical incident technique 229–30 critical perspective 165 critical theory 96, 99 critique of interviews/focus groups 311–3 cross-tabulation 402–3, 403 cycle of theory-research-knowledge 117 cyclical process 16–7
ANOVA 401 appendices 54 appendix 54 archival research 5, 187, 228, 250–2 asynchronous online focus groups (OFG) 299 attitude research 4, 186–7, 333–5 axial coding 426–7	(CADA) 362, 382 Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis (CAQDAS) 352, 364, 435–8 concepts 108–9 conceptual framework 6, 40, 137–8, 156–7, 355–6, 409 see also four frameworks approach	data 5, 356–8 access 20, 21 critical questions 369–70 evaluating utility of 249–50 graphing 403–7 loading 393 missing 394–6 presentation 152–3
bar chart 404–5 between-method triangulation 42, 193 bias 291, 297–8, 338 bibliography 52–3 bivariate analysis 402 Bogardus social distance scale 329, 330 bracketing 429 case studies action research 28–30	conclusions 5, 446, 460 implications 451–2 writing 448–52 conducting interviews 292–3 breakdowns 296 concluding 296 contact interviewees 294 group 295 managing data 297 method 293 one-to-one/face-to-face (F2F)	reduction 366–7 safety/security 356–7 unnecessary 83 validity 49–50 data analysis 6, 166, 197, 358–9, 393, 459, 460 case study 371–3 four stages 365–6, 408–10, 422 in practice 362 presenting 396–7 qualitative 363–4, 424–5
celebrities and poverty reduction 344–5 child poverty 253–5 consumption of charity bracelets 411–2 Imperial War Museum 314–15 management practices 463–4 managing/analyzing data 371–3 methodological framework 194–8 qualitative study using diary	294–5 online 295–6 provide information 294 sample selection 293–4 schedule 293 setting up 294 telephone 295 confidentiality 79 constructivism 99 content analysis 14, 162–3, 185, 226 context for research 30, 60, 144, 146	quantitative 359–62 structure 366–8 variable in quantitative data 397–8 data collection methods 4–5, 15–16, 144, 191–2, 196, 205, 220–31, 262–3, 286–7, 313, 322–3, 325–6 set back/surprise data gathering 341–2 data management 297, 352, 356–8,
method 438–40 random numbers 232–3 research idea 278–80 Walt Disney 88 case study 4, 14, 15, 40, 182, 225 data analysis in 428–30 documentary analysis 145–6	contingency table 403 convenience sampling 213, 214 conventional inquiry 306 correlation tests 401 covert observation 221, 266 in practice 268 critical analysis 133	case study 371–3 with SPSS 396–7 data set 246, 352 data stream 249 Datablog 152–5 decision-making 19, 181, 208, 265, 267

dependent variable 228, 230	field diaries 226	in practice 115-16
descriptive statistics 398, 400–401, 402	film research 5	Imperial War Museum 314–15
development 113	final draft 446	inclusion criteria 209
diaries 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58,	first draft 446	independent variable 228, 230, 398,
226, 438–40	fit 37, 39, 95	399
dichotomous variable 398, 399	Five Forces Model for Industry	inferential statistics 398, 401, 402
discourse analysis 426	Analysis 244–5	informal observations 265-6
discourse analysis (DA) 186, 216-17,	focus groups 4, 224, 286, 289,	informed consent 79-81
227	298–9	integrity 27, 74, 357-8
do no harm 74	advantages/disadvantages 300	inter coder reliability 336, 338
documentary analysis 131-2, 186	critiquing 311-3	internet access 323-4
case study 145-6	face-to-face 299, 301-302	internet research 224-5
documentary evidence 227	online 299	interpretivism 96, 99
documentary research 5, 131-2, 186	in practice 288-9, 299	interquartile range (IQR) 400
Domino's Pizza 299	schedules 303-5	interval variable 397
drop and collect questionnaires	formal observation 266	intervening variable 398, 399
223–4, 326	four frameworks approach 5–7, 179,	interview schedule 191, 293, 303-5
Durkheim, Emile 12, 13	230, 262, 355–6, 409–10	interviewee verification 305
	creation 112-15, 141-2, 157-8	interviewer verification 305
empirical 12	outline 142–3	interviews 4, 13, 180
epistemology 13–14,95–6,99–100,322	research process and 9–10	conducting 292–7
ethically reflective practitioner 78–9	see also analytical framework;	critiquing 311–13
ethics 25, 27, 69, 197–8, 313	conceptual framework;	qualitative 194, 293
anonymity and confidentiality	methodological framework;	quantitative research 292–3
79–81	theoretical framework	street intercept questionnaire
codes 70	four stages of data analysis 366	385–7
debates on 70	conclusions 365, 409, 422	types 221–3, 289–92
definition 70	description 365, 408, 422	intrusion 82
do no harm 74	interpretation 365, 408, 422	i
frameworks 70-1	theorization 366, 409, 422	judgemental (purposive) sampling
importance 72	frequency distributions 401	213–14 justify 95
integrity 74	functionalism 96, 99	justify 55
issues and dilemmas 71-2, 76,		key concept 6
82–3	gatekeeper 20, 83	key word searches 156–7
plagiarism 75	generalizability 342	knowledge 100
power 75	graphing data 403-7	generation 106-7
in practice 73-4, 331-2	grounded theory (GT) 4, 14, 183-4	research-theory link 117
questions/prompts for ethical	data analysis 426-7	,
reflection 84–7	methodology 427-8	language 421
in research 72, 74-5	group administered questionnaires	life history 5, 13, 185, 456-7
transparency 75	224	Likert scale 327
validity 75	group dynamic 289	line graph 404
ethnographic research 4, 263	group interviews 222, 291, 292, 295	list of references 460
ethnography 14, 183, 271–2	Houstbarras Evragrimonta, 200	literature 152, 156
evidence 49–50 exclusion criteria 209	Hawthorne Experiments 229 hermeneutics 99	source appropriate 47–9
experimental design 182–3, 355,	histogram 407	literature appraisal
398–9	historical analysis 184–5	conclusions 167
experiments 228–9	historical data 13	data analysis 166
•	historical research 184–5, 250–2	findings 166
face-to-face (F2F) focus group,	hypothesis 40	introduction 166
conducting 301-302	hypothesis testing 402	methodology 166
face-to-face (F2F) interview 290-1,		overall 167
294–5	ideas for research project 126,	recommendations 167
audio-recorded 305	128–35, 247–8	review 166
feminism 96, 99	image-based research 4, 5, 111-2,	literature review 4, 59–60, 458
feminist research 4, 188	187, 226–7	good and bad 48-9, 169-70

reading 165-7 designing 274, 277 purposive (judgemental) sampling 213-14 sample 28-9, 145-6 research methodology 275-6 writing 158-65 rigour in 272-3 Ionaitudinal 180 qualitative data 105, 106, 231 schedule 191 analysis 352, 363-4 types 266-7 coding 393 understanding 263, 265-6 Marx, Karl 12 presenting 431-3 using 267, 269-70 mass observation 263 qualitative data analysis 420-1 official analysis 133 matrices 432-3 mean 400 one-to-one interview 221-2, 290-1, simple 424-5 294-5 qualitative data set 246 measurement validity 335, 337 online focus groups (OFG) 299 qualitative research 231, 286 measures of central tendency 400, online interviews 222-3, 291-2, 402 conducting interviews 293 295-6 measures of dispersion 400, 402 difference with quantitative media research 97 advantages/disadvantages research 308 in practice 127-8, 204-5 300 validity/reliability 306-10 median 400 online questionnaires 224 quantitative data 104, 105, 231, 380 meta-analysis 188 ontology 14, 95, 96, 322 analysis 352, 359-62, 380 method 49 open coding 426 variable in analysis 397-8 methodological framework 6, 142-3, open questions 293, 304 working with 382-4 230, 410 oral history 13, 14, 228 quantitative data set 249 ordinal variable 397 case study 194-8 quantitative research 231, 286, 322 creation 191-4 conducting interviews 292-3 data collection 191-2 participant observation 13, 221, 263, difference with qualitative ethics 193 266 research 308 peer-reviewed sources 156 explanation and justification 191 objectiveness of 338 percentages 400 population of study 191 validity/reliability 306-10, 335-6 phenomenological research 428 summary 194 questionnaire design 336-8 data analysis in 429 triangulation 192-3 abbreviations/jargon 340 phenomenology 14, 102, 103, 184, validity and reliability 192 ambiguous questions 339 310 - 1see also four frameworks clear instructions 340 in practice 102 approach complicated questions 339 philosophical framework 95, methodological pyramid 103-4, 179 98-103 conceptual framework 227 methodological triangulation 42 photo-elicitation interview 290 double negatives 340 methodology 4, 100-3, 458 pilot study 273, 341 embarrassing questions 339 appropriate 189-90 plagiarism 50, 52, 75 ethnocentrism 340 missing data 394-6 population of the study 28, 59, 144, humour 340 mixed-methods research 359, 191, 196, 206 insulting words 339 433-4 population/s 143, 197, 207, 215 mode 400 leading responses 339 Porter, Michael 244-5 multiple linear regression 401 loaded words 339 positivism 12-13, 96, 99, 101 multiple reality 15 respondent bias 340 post-modernism 99 multivariate analysis 402, 403 sexist, racist, ageist, disablist post-structuralism 99 postal questionnaires 223 language 340 narrative analysis 184, 190-1, 227. potential harms 69 simple/easy-to-answer questions 421 power 75 340 narrative inquiry 190-1 prediction 399, 401 slang/colloquialisms 340 narrative research 5, 180, 184, 190-1 primary data 240, 242-3, 265 talking down to respondents 340 naturalistic inquiry 306 differentiating between two or more questions 339 nominal variable 397 primary and secondary non-participative observation 266 unclear/vague concepts 339 data 243-5 non-probability sampling 209, 213 questionnaires 4, 193, 322, 326-7 printed material research 5 techniques 213-5 politics/practicalities of asking privileged access 82 questions 338, 340 probability sampling 209 presentation 338, 340 objectives 44, 45 techniques 210-3 street intercept interviews 385-7 objectives of the research 140-1 projective technique 5, 225-6, observation 4, 193, 221, 262-3 types 223-4 330-3 proportions 401 quota sampling 213, 214 critique of 273-4

turning into research project 39	random numbers 232–3	refining 138–9	scales 5, 225, 322, 326, 327–9
rating scales 325 rationale for research 30, 60-1, 144, 146, 166, 177, 178-80, 195-6, 248 ratios 400 reality 13-15, 96 recommendations 446, 453, 460 reflaxivity 79, 42C-1 recitability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306-10, 335-6 report 22-5, 460 representation 209, 213 research alms and objectives 140-1 basic elements 99-103 contribution to knowledge 240-1 design 219-20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 256-1, 250-1, 256-1, 250-1, 256-1, 250-1, 256-1, 250-1, 256-1, 250-1, 256-1, 250-1, 256-		S .	
reationale for research 30, 60-1, 144, 146, 168, 168, 248 cyclical process of action 16-17 formulation of researchable project 19-21 moratice 60 problems 17-19 types 182-8 writing up research 22-5 research methodology 45-16-6, 248 cyclical process of action 16-17 formulation of researchable project 19-21 moratice 60 problems 17-19 types 182-8 writing up research 22-5 research project 19-21, 33-6 exerch of 152, 177, 241, 323, 381 concepts 10 statement 9-10 concepts 10 statement 9-10 conclusions/ecommendations 459 data analysis 459 as the firefundance of theory 21,51, 11, 107-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 284, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 333-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21,51, 11, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 21-28-9, 245-6, 288, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underprinnings 98-9 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research clians 25, 27 research clians 26, 27 research cl		· ·	• •
146 reality 13-15, 96 recommendations 446, 453, 460 references 168 cyclical process of action 16-17 recommendations 446, 453, 460 references 188 cyclical process of action 16-17 remitability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306-10, 335-6 report 22-5, 460 representation 209, 213 research aims and objectives 140-1 basic elements 99-103 contribution to knowledge 240-1 design 219-20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 220-1, 224, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 355-4, 368-9, 380-1, 344-7, 389-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 537-8, 407-8, 434-5, 455-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 99-potential complexities in reporting 447-78 problems 17-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 role play 332 research ethics 25, 27 role play 322 research ethics 25, 27 role play 322 sampling method 196 sampling blass 298 response rate 213, 342 research ethics 26, 27 role play 322 research ethics 26, 27 research ethics 27 research ethics 27 research ethics 27 research ethics 28 research ethics 29 research ethics 29 research ethics 29 research ethics 29 research ethics 26 research ethics 26 research ethics 26 research ethi			•
ratios 400 recommendations 446, 453, 460 recommendations 446, 453, 460 references 188 reflexivity 79, 420–1 reliability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306–10, 335–6 report 22–5, 460 representation 209, 213 research aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 264, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 368–9, 380–1, 384–5, 398–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 136–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 224–5, 288, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 polotems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research dimy 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research dimy 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature review, writing 186–29 case study 438–40 research effices 25, 27 research effices 26, 27 research effices 27, 28, 296 case study 438–40 research dimy 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling method 196 sampling without replacement 210 methodology and methods 20 group of a decision 120 methodology and methods 20 generating 247–8 literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling without replacement 210 methodology and methods 20 generating 247–8 literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling techniques 209–15 sampling without replacement 210 methodology and methods 20 generating 247–8 literature review 458 methodology and methods 20 generating 247–8 literature review 458 methodology 455 metho			•
recommendations 446, 453, 460 references 188 reflexivity 79, 420–1 reliability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306–10, 335–6 report 22–5, 460 representation 209, 213 research aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 284, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 345–3, 38–9, 380–1, 384–5, 390–9, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 142,	ratios 400		search strategy 157
recommendations 446, 453, 460 references 188 reflexivity 79, 420–1 reliability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306–10, 335–6 report 22–5, 460 representation 209, 213 research aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 294, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 388–9, 380–1, 384–5, 399–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 1424, 41 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 38–4 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 38–4 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 38–6 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 38–6 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature requestions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 reflexivity 79, 420–1 in practice 60 problems 17–19 problems 125–25 sourcing 246–9 secondary sources 225, 241, 244 graphs and tables 405–7 selective coding 427 semantic differentia scale 328 sethod 22–5 research ethics 19–10 research projects 19–21, 39 case study 9–10 research ethics 19–11, 294, 19–10 research ethics 19–11 research statement 9–10 research ethics 19–21 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 2	reality 13-15, 96	cyclical process of action 16-17	secondary data 240, 242-3
reflexivity 79, 420–1 reliability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306–10, 335–6 report 22–5, 460 representation 209, 213 research aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 284, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 333–4, 388–9, 380–1, 384–5, 389–1, 384–5, 389–1, 384–5, 380–1, 384–5, 389–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–0, research britos 217–18 problems 217–18 problems 17–19 types 182–8 writing up research 22–5 research process 4, 95–6, 98, 126, 152, 177, 241, 323, 381 concepts 10 research pilo-19–21, 39 data analysis 459 data analysis 459 data analysis 459 infroduction 457 literature review 458 methodology 458 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 research after 518 problems 27–19 types 182–8 writing up research 22–5 research process 4, 95–6, 98, 126, 152, 177, 241, 323, 381 concepts 10 research pilo-10 research pilo-10 research project 19–21, 39 data analysis 459 data analysis 459 infroduction 457 literature review 458 methodology 458 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 research after 60 problems 22–5 research review 10 statement 9–10 research pilo-10 research pilo-21, 39 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 reflexive review 458 methodology 458 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 reflexive review 458 methodology 458 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 research pilo-10 research pil	recommendations 446, 453, 460		appropriate use of 252
reflexivity 79, 420–1 reliability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306–10, 335–6 report 22–5, 460 representation 209, 213 research aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 264, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 368–9, 380–1, 384–5, 389–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 130 in practice 60 problems 17–19 types 182–8 writing up research 22–5 research process 4, 95–6, 98, 126, 152, 177, 241, 323, 381 concepts 10 concepts 10 concepts 10 concepts 10 concepts 10 research ethics 25 research process 4, 95–6, 98, 126, 152, 177, 241, 323, 381 concepts 10 research ethics 25 research process 4, 95–6, 98, 126, 152, 177, 241, 323, 381 concepts 10 research ethics 25 research statement 9–10 research ethics 25 research statement 9–10 research ethics 25, 27 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 42–4, 43 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 26, 27 research ethics 26, 27 research ethics 2	references 168	project 19-21	differentiating between primary and
reliability 42, 192, 197 quantitative research 306–10,	reflexivity 79, 420-1		
quantitative research 306-10, 335-6 report 22-5, 480 report 22-5, 480 representation 209, 213 research 22-5 research 2013 contribution to knowledge 240-1 design 219-20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 133-4, 182-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 335-4, 388-9, 390-1, 334-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 438-4 research brios 25, 27 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 researc	reliability 42, 192, 197		•
335-6 reprosentation 209, 213 research reproses 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 1,	quantitative research 306-10,	'	
report 22–5, 460 research process 4, 95–6, 98, 126, 152, 177, 241, 323, 381 concepts 10 aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 133–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 264, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 38–9, 380–1, 384–5, 398–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 11–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–6 satudy 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130			•
representation 209, 213 research aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 254, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 368–9, 380–1, 384–5, 398–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methodo 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 99–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 199, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 839–4 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 839–6 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130	report 22-5, 460	9 1	•
concepts 10 aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 264, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 368–9, 380–1, 384–5, 398–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 199, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature review 10 statement 9–10 research project 19–21, 39 case study 59–61 conclusions/recommendations 459 data analysis 459 introduction 457 literature review 458 methodology 458 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 research ethics 213–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 199, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–2 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–3 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–3 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature review 458 methodology and methods questions 130 statement 9–10, 133, 159, 248, 355 development of 40–4 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 26, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature re	representation 209, 213		-
aims and objectives 140–1 basic elements 99–103 contribution to knowledge 240–1 design 219–20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 264, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 368–9, 380–1, 344–5, 458–6 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diany 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature review 10 statement 9–10 research yeriocet 19–21, 39 case study 59–61 conclusions/recommendations 459 data analysis 459 introduction 457 literature review 458 methodology 458 met	research		.
statement 9-10 240-1 design 219-20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 353-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 4366 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 98-9 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83-4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 129-30 methodology and methods questions 130	aims and objectives 140-1	•	9
contribution to knowledge 240-1 design 219-20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 353-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 439-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methodo 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 98-9 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83-4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 129-30 methodology and methods questions 130 research project 19-21, 39 case study 59-61 conclusions/recommendations 459 introduction 457 simple random sampling 209, 210 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 simple random sampling 209, 210 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 skips and filters 337, 338 SME (small and medium-sized enterprise) 113 sonoball sampling 213, 214-15 social constructivism 13, 96 social research 12-14 social research 12-14 social research project 19-21, 39 semito-structured observation 270 semito-store 430-1 semito-dology 458 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207-8, 366-70 thesis/report 460 writing 457-60 research statement 9-10, 113, 159, 248, 355 development of 40-4 researchability 19, 39 researcher bias 297-8 researcher bias 297-8 researcher bias 297-8 researcher bias 298 sampling 209, 210 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 skips and filters 337, 338 SME (small and medium-sized enterprise) 113 sonoball sampling 213, 214-15 social constructive in 12, 227-8 spitchalf medium-sized enterprise 32, 342 reviews, writing 158-65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 26, 27 research	basic elements 99-103		
240-1 design 219-20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-13, 353-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 98-9 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83-4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 129-30 methodology and methods questions 130 case study 59-61 conclusions/recommendations 459 data analysis 459 introduction 457 introduction 1457 introduction 457 introducti	contribution to knowledge		
design 219-20 different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 353-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 98-9 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 20, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 20, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 26, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 26, 27 research ethic	240–1		· .
different approaches 49 four frameworks approach and 9–10 good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 264, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 333–4, 368–9, 380–1, 384–5, 398–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 510–11, 27–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 in practice 430–1 sentence completion exercises 325, 332–3 simple linear regression 401 simple random sampling 209, 210 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 skips and filters 337, 338 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 writing 457–60 research 460 writing 457–60 search 460 writing 457–60 research 40–4 do writing 457–60 search 40–4 research 40–4 research 40–4 social research paradigms 14 social research paradigms 14 research 40–4 social research paradigms 14 research 40–4 social research paradigms 14 social research paradigms 14 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 145–6 research 40–4 social research paradigms 14 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 145–6 research 40–4 social research paradigms 14 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 145–6 research 40–4 social research paradigms 14 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 145–6 research 40–4 res	design 219-20	•	
four frameworks approach and 9–10 data analysis 459 introduction 457 simple linear regression 401 simple random sampling 209, 210 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 skips and filters 337, 338 skifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 research statement 9–10, 113, 159, 248, 355 development of 40–4 research bility 19, 39 researcher bias 297–8 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 replacement 210 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 structured interview/focus group six for the 145 methodology and methods and suction 457 simple linear regression 401 simple random sampling 209, 210 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 skips and filters 337, 338 skifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 research ethory 21, 51, 107–8, 248, 355 development of 40–4 research bility 19, 39 researche bility 19, 39 researche bility 19, 39 researche bility 19, 39 researcher bility 19, 39 researcher bility 219, 39 researcher bility 19, 39 research	different approaches 49		·
9-10 good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8, 153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 353-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 generating 247-8 literature review 458 methodology 458 meth	four frameworks approach and		•
good 7–9, 38, 68–9, 97, 127–8, 153–4, 162–3, 176–7, 190–1, 204–5, 216–17, 250–1, 264, 271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 368–9, 380–1, 384–5, 398–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research dehairs 27 research diea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 130 literature review 458 methodology 458 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 skips and filters 337, 338 SME (small and medium-sized enterprise) 113 snowball sampling 213, 214–15 social constructivism 13, 96 social research 12–14 social research 12–14 social research 12–14 social research 12–14 social research 21–14 spideryams 133, 134–5 spidit-half method 336, 337 researcher triangulation 42 response rate 213, 342 researcher diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research dehics 25, 27 research didea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130	9–10	-	•
153-4, 162-3, 176-7, 190-1, 204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 353-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 98-9 researchability 19, 39 researcher bias 297-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research didary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research diea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 129-30 methodology and methods questions 130 replacement 210 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 skips and filters 337, 338 skifts in 207-8, 369-70 thesis/report 460 writing 457-60 enterprise) 113 shifts in 207-8, 369-70 thesis/report 460 writing 457-60 enterprise) 113, 159, 248, 355 development of 40-4 researchability 19, 39 researchability 19, 39 researchability 19, 39 researcher bias 297-8 researcher triangulation 42 respondent bias 298 respondent b	good 7-9, 38, 68-9, 97, 127-8,		simple linear regression 401
204-5, 216-17, 250-1, 264, 271-2, 299, 310-1, 353-4, 368-9, 380-1, 384-5, 398-9, 419, 427-8, 430-1, 447-8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 research bilimiting scope of project 199-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 98-9 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 129-30 methodology and methods questions 130 restrictions as pling with out replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 skills 36 skew, skewness 402 skills 36 skills 36 skills 36 skey, skewness 402 skills 36 skills 36 shifts in 207-8, 369-70 thesis/report 460 writing 457-60 writing 457-60 thesis/report 460 writing 457-60 research bill 457-60 research statement 9-10, 113, 159, social research 12-14 social research 12-14 social research 12-14 social research 12-14 social r			
271–2, 299, 310–1, 353–4, 368–9, 380–1, 384–5, 398–9, 419, 427–8, 430–1, 447–8, 456 influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 research 460 writing 457–60 research 400 writing 457–60 research 400 writing 457–60 research 40–4 research ability 19, 39 researcher bias 297–8 researcher triangulation 42 respondent bias 298 response rate 213, 342 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 role play 332 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 reflexivity 83 shifts in 207–8, 369–70 thesis/report 460 writing 457–60 research 40–4 research 40–4 research ability 19, 39 researcher bias 297–8 researcher bias 297–8 researcher bias 298 response rate 213, 342 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 role play 340 role play 34			skew, skewness 402
shirts in 207-8, 389-70 thesis/report 460 writing 457-60 research statement 9-10, 113, 159, 248, 355 development of 40-4 researchability 19, 39 researcher bias 297-8 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 generating 247-8 literature questions 129-30 methodology and methods questions 130		reflexivity 83	skills 36
tness/report 460 writing 457–60 research tatement 9–10, 113, 159, 248, 355 development of 40–4 researchability 19, 39 researcher bias 297–8 political complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 130 research 210 research 210 replacement 210 research 210 search replacement 210 research atterment 9–10, 113, 159, 248, 355 social constructivism 13, 96 social research 12–14 social constructivism 13, 96 social research 12–14 social constructivism 13, 96 social research 12–14 social research 12–14 social research 12–14 social research paradigms 14 spidergrams 133, 134–5 split-half method 336, 337 SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 352, 360 data management 396–7 guides 396 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 403 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structured interview/focus group structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group		shifts in 207-8, 369-70	skips and filters 337, 338
influence of theory 21, 51, 107–8, 110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 researchability 19, 39 research paradigms 14 spidergrams 133, 134–5 spit-half method 336, 337 research triangulation 42 respondent bias 297–8 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 130 research 210 research 210 research 19–10, 113, 159, 248, 355 social research 12–14 social research paradigms 14 spidergrams 133, 134–5 split-half method 336, 337 SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 352, 360 data management 396–7 guides 396 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 402 statistical inference 398, 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group		thesis/report 460	SME (small and medium-sized
110–1, 135–6, 164–5, 180–1, 218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 researchability 19, 39 researchable 39 social research 12–14 social resear		•	enterprise) 113
218–20, 245–6, 268, 309, 357–8, 407–8, 434–5, 454–6 limiting scope of project 139–40 methods 217–18 researchable 39 researcher bias 297–8 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 130 replacement 210 social research 12–14 spiderite 39 social research 12–14 spiderite 39 social research 12–14 spiderite 39, social research paradigms 14 spidergrams 133, 134–5 split-half method 336, 337 SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 552, 360 data management 396–7 guides 396 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group	•		snowball sampling 213, 214-15
357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 limiting scope of project 139-40 methods 217-18 philosophical underpinnings 98-9 potential complexities in reporting 447-8 problems 11-12, 178, 188-9, 190, 207, 305-6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143-4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10-11, 27, 41, 54-7, 58, 226 case study 438-40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83-4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 130 researchability 19, 39 researchable 39 research idas 297-8 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 130 researchability 19, 39 researchable 39 research idas 297-8 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 case study 278-80 generating 247-8 literature questions 130 researchable 39 researchable 39 researchable 39 researchable 39 researchable 39 researche rinargulation 42 research rinagulation 42 researche rinargulation 42 respondent bias 298 statistical package for the Social research releads split-half method 336, 337 PPSS (Statistical Package for the Social research aspiderymms 133, 134-5 split-half method 336, 337 respondent bias 298 statistical analysis 490 respondent bias 298 statistical analysis 402 research ethics 25, 27 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128-35 research ethics 29 sample 143, 196, 208-9 detailing 215 random numbers 232-3 size 212 sample iteratu		•	social constructivism 13, 96
researchable 39 methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 130 literature questions 130 researchable 39 researche bias 297–8 researche rtiangulation 42 research ethics 298 respondent bias 2		•	
methods 217–18 philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 researcher bias 297–8 researcher bias 297–8 researcher bias 297–8 researcher bias 297–8 researcher triangulation 42 respondent bias 298 researcher triangulation 42 respondent bias 298 researcher triangulation 42 respondent bias 298 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 split data management 396–7 guides 396 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 402 reross-tabulation 42 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 rele play 332 statistical analysis 402 responsate analysis 402 responsate analysis 402 sampler 43, 196, 208–9 samplar 441, 196, 208–9 sa		• •	social research paradigms 14
philosophical underpinnings 98–9 potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 researcher triangulation 42 researcher triangulation 42 respondent bias 298 response rate 213, 342 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without response rate 213, 342 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling frame 209 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 research ethics 25, 27 r			
potential complexities in reporting 447–8 problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 respondent bias 298 social Sciences) 352, 360 data management 396–7 guides 396 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 402 statistical inference 398, 402 statistical inference 398, 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group			•
response rate 213, 342 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 role play 332 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling method 196 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 402 statistical inference 398, 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interviews 396–7 guides 396 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 402 statistical inference 398, 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group		_	,
problems 11–12, 178, 188–9, 190, 207, 305–6, 312, 461 proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 reviews, writing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 158–65 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 satistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 396 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 403 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured intrinary deviction 400		•	
rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 role play 332 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 rigour, rigorous 5, 272 rigorous 5, 272 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group	447–8	•	S .
proposal 28, 59, 143–4 theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 role play 332 role play 332 role play 332 role play 332 standard deviation 400 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 402 statistical inference 398, 402 statistical inference 398, 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group	•		•
theory-knowledge link 117 research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 sample 143, 196, 208–9 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 403 statistical inference 399, 402 statistical inference 399, 402 statistical inference 399, 402 statistical analysis 399–403, 472 bivariate analysis 402 cross-tabulation 403 descriptive 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 402 statistical inference 399, 402 statistical inference 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 402 statistical inference 399, 402 statistical inference 399, 402 statistical inference 399, 402 statistical inference 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 402 statistical inference 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 403 structured analysis 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group			
research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 detailing 215 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 structured interview/focus group	proposal 28, 59, 143-4		
research diary 5, 10–11, 27, 41, 54–7, 58, 226 case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 descriptive 399, 400–401 hypothesis testing 402 inferential 399, 401–402 multivariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 403 univariate analysis 402 statistical inference 398, 402 stratified sample 210–11 need for and use of 211 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group	, 0	sample 143, 196, 208-9	
case study 438–40 research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 random numbers 232–3 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 structured interview/focus group		•	
research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 size 212 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 structured interview/focus group		<u> </u>	•
research ethics 25, 27 research ethics committee (REC) 80, 83–4 research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 sample literature review 141–2, 144, 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling techniques 209–15 sampling without replacement 210 structured interview/focus group	•		hypothesis testing 402
research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 145–6 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling without replacement 210 structured interview/focus group	•		inferential 399, 401-402
research idea 4, 37, 39, 128–35 case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling bias 298 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling techniques 209–15 sampling without sampling without sampling without sampling without sampling without sampling without structured interview/focus group	•	•	multivariate analysis 403
case study 278–80 generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 sampling frame 209 sampling frame 209 sampling method 196 sampling with replacement 210 sampling techniques 209–15 sampling without sampling without sampling techniques 209–15 structuralism 99 structure 158, 366–8 structured interview/focus group			univariate analysis 402
generating 247–8 sampling method 196 stratified sample 210–11 generating 247–8 sampling with replacement 210 need for and use of 211 sampling techniques 209–15 structuralism 99 methodology and methods questions 130 replacement 210 structured interview/focus group		. •	•
generating 247–8 literature questions 129–30 methodology and methods questions 130 sampling with replacement 210 sampling techniques 209–15 sampling without replacement 210 structure 158, 366–8 structure 158, 366–8 structure d interview/focus group	, and the second		•
methodology and methods sampling without structure 158, 366–8 questions 130 replacement 210 structured interview/focus group	0	. •	
questions 130 replacement 210 structured interview/focus group		sampling techniques 209-15	
Topiccontent 210			
project questions 129 saturation point 214 schedule 303-5	•	•	• .
• • • •	project questions 129	saturation point 214	Scriedule 303-3

structured observation 269, 270 subjective reality 15 summarizing statistics 359-60 survey 4, 14, 15, 43-4, 46, 182 case study 59-61 in practice 101, 380-1 symbolic interactionism 99 symbols 5 synchronous online focus groups (OFG) 299 systematic 262 systematic sampling 209, 210 T-tests 401 tables 403 telephone interviews 222, 287, 292, 295 test re-test method 336, 337 textual analysis 187-8 thematic analysis 426 theoretical framework 6, 48, 409 see also four frameworks approach theoretical triangulation 42

theoretization 449 theorizing data 116-7 theory 106-7 framework creation 112-5, 141-2 generating 116-7, 355 importance 108-11 influence on research 51, 107-8, 110-1, 135-6, 164-5, 180-1, 218-20, 245-6, 268, 309, 357-8, 407-8, 434-5, 454-6 research-knowledge link 117 uses in research 109-10 thesis 22-5, 460, 462 thick description 420 training 113 training and development 113 training and development for employees 113 transparency 75 triangulation 42-3, 192-3, 196-7

univariate analysis 402 unobtrusive methods 229 unobtrusive observation 269 unstructured observation 269, 270, 275–6

validity 42, 75, 192, 197
quantitative research 306–10,
335–6
value 393
variable 230, 397
vignettes 330
in practice 331–2
visual images 13
visual methods 226–7
vulnerable populations 82

Walt Disney Company 88 Weber, Max 12 within method triangulation 42 writing research project 457–60 writing reviews 158–65 writing standards 161